Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,286	DAVIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc E. Norman	3744	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) Marc E. Norman.	(3)		
(2) <u>Nicholas Whitelaw</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 November 2007.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represen	itative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-18 and 20</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Davis et al. (6,772,60 not prior art)</u> .	11) and Davis et al. (6,769,	265 - which Applicar	nt submits is
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representate believe that the 6,769,265 reference could be used as evinvention at least as early as March 12, 2003, and thus converse representative also argued that he believes that 102(e) recommended in a copy of the amendation of the copy of t	ive raised the issue of the idence that Applicant had jould invalidate the 6,772,60 ejection over the 6,772,601 edection over the 6,772,601 edection over the examination copy of the amendments fed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE the last Office action has also R OF ONE MONTH OR THITERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	131 Affidavit, indicated possession of the classion of the classion of the classion of the classical prior art. Apply a reference is improper agreed would render that would render the ETHE SUBSTANCE ready been filed, APINRTY DAYS FROM PRM, WHICHEVER I	ing that he aimed licant's eer der the claims e claims OF THE PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO
		ONORMAN IY EXAMINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if require	ed .